

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,155	08/08/2003	Louis J. Cowin	1261-2	6066
7	590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Paul J. Farrell DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
333 Earle Ovington Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Uniondale, NY	7 11553		3634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\supset$		V	)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
Office Antique Commence	10/638,155	COWIN, LOUIS J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce A. Lev	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>Aug 8, 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,12 and 16-20</u> is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,10 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 11</u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from considerationed.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>Aug 8, 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be t	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	Iments have been received. Iments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
	·	PRIMARYEXAMINE	R			
			/			
Attachment(s)	4) Interview	Summary (PTIO-413)	~			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-</li> </ol>	18) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/8/03.	SB/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-/					

李斯斯克尔(图4·美丽)《《阿尔尔斯》(图2·克格)(特别的第三人称单数)(特别的第三人称单数)(特别)(特别)(特别)(特别)(特别)(特别)(特别)(特别)

Art Unit: 3634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: (10). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: (21, 24, and 48). Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so

Art Unit: 3634

٥۔

as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character (36) has been used to designate both the pin and the lever. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As concerns claim 8, the phrase "arm is spring to be biased" is vague and indefinite.

Art Unit: 3634

As concerns claim 11, the phrase "each *pair* of channels" lacks antecedent basis and is therefore vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 9, 10, and 13-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Fullam et al 5,806, 628.* 

Fullam et al set forth a climbing device comprising a frame; slidable jaws; a footplate; a handle; and a locking mechanism (as described in column 6 lines 8-9 and 37-39); a piston; and two spaced apart shafts extending through respective channels.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Fullam et al in view of Harding 3,575,405.* 

Art Unit: 3634

Fullam et al set forth the device, as advanced above, except for the locking mechanism including a toothed rack and a pawl. However, Harding teaches the use of a locking mechanism including a toothed rack and a pawl within a device incorporating linearly slidable jaw members. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the locking means of Fullam et al by incorporating a toothed rack and a pawl, as taught by Harding, in order to more securely removable lock the jaw members.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7, 12, and 16-20 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims **8 and 11** would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As concerns *claims 3-8 and 16-19*, structural limitations pertaining to the *locking mechanism* further including *flexible arm pivotally* coupled to the handle to lift the pawl, along with the other structural limitations are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

As concerns *claim 11*, structural limitations pertaining to the *channels and the shafts* forming *radial clearances* to allow the handle and the footplate to pivot, along with the other structural limitations are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

As concerns *claim 12*, structural limitations pertaining to the *openings* having *diameters larger than* the diameters of their respective *pins* to allow the handle and footplate to *pivot*, along with the other structural limitations are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

As concerns *claim 20*, structural limitations pertaining to the *damping plunger* with a *spring* braced *between the jaw and the handle*, along with the other structural limitations are neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (703) 308-7470.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

6/14/04

Bruce A. Lev Primary Examiner Group 3600